# **Steady Jets and Transient Jets:**

## **Radio / X-ray Characteristics**

Maria Massi (MPIfR)





#### Steady Jets and Transient Jets

Max Planck Institut for Radio Astronomy, Bonn, Germany

7 - 8 April 2010



The aim of the workshop is to review the current status of knowledge about steady and shocked jets in various environments. The topics will he

1. Jet Observations

- 2. MHD Steady Jet production and Schock-in-jet Theory
- 3. Radio Jets vs High Energy Emission

In addition to four invited reviews per topic, contributed paper can be presented as short talks accompanied by a poster.

SOC: S. Komissarov, A. Lobanov, M. Massi (chair), J. M. Paredes, A. Rov. M. Türler







For further information and registration visit: http://www.mpifr-bonn.mpg.de/staff/mmassi/conference/jets2010/





#### **STEADY JETS AND TRANSIENT JETS**

#### Outline

Radio/X-ray Characteristics for X-ray Binaries

Models for the Emission

Comparison with the AGNs





#### TRANSIENT JETS

#### STEADY JETS



Figure 4. Optically thin (i.e. spectral index  $\alpha < 0$ ) radio spectra from several radio-bright X-ray binaries which were *not* in the Low/Hard X-ray state at the time of the observations, compared with the flat/inverted spectra of the seven sources in Figs 1 & 4 (for the transients, the later, i.e. most inverted, spectra are plotted). As well as the different spectral indices (the optically thin sources all have  $-1 \le \alpha \le -0.2$ , the source in the Low/Hard state all have  $0.0 \le \alpha \le 0.6$ ), note also the much wider range of fluxes observed from optically thin emission.

#### Fender et al. 2001, 2004,2006

# **Steady Radio Jet**

#### slow velocity ~0.1 c

Dhawan et al. 2000

continuous jet centered on the system,

flat radio spectrum Compact jets



Twin pair of ejections v/c > 0.9 optically thin radio spectrum



Dhawan, Mirabel and Rodriguez 2000

*Two distinct radio emission states* 

radio emission <u>attached to</u>.....

and *detached from* the center





GRS 1915+105

Dhawan et al. 2000

GRS 1915+105

Mirabel .& Rodrigez 1994



Figure 3: Images at 3.6cm arranged by orbital phase  $\varphi$ . Contours begin at  $\pm 0.2$ mJy, and step by a factor  $\sqrt{2}$ . The resolution is 1.5x1.1 mas = 3x2.2 AU. The image locations have been adjusted for non-overlap, and are hence approximate and illustrative only. Orbit size is greatly exaggerated. Astrometric positions

#### Beam: 2.2x3 AU Semi-major axis:0.5 AU



### TRANSIENT JETS

### STEADY JETS

Low Hard





Figure 4. Optically thin (i.e. spectral index  $\alpha < 0$ ) radio spectra from several radio-bright X-ray binaries which were *not* in the Low/Hard X-ray state at the time of the observations, compared with the flat/inverted spectra of the seven sources in Figs 1 & 4 (for the transients, the later, i.e. most inverted, spectra are plotted). As well as the different spectral indices (the optically thin sources all have  $-1 \le \alpha \le -0.2$ , the source in the Low/Hard state all have  $0.0 \le \alpha \le 0.6$ ), note also the much wider range of fluxes observed from optically thin emission.

Fender et al. 2001



#### *(thermal dominated)*

 $\sim 1 - 2$  keV disc + PL tail



*Hard PL* ( $\Gamma \sim 1.5 - 2$ ) dominant, disc absent or *truncated*, *radio jet* emission. Least luminous.

### Very High

#### (steep power-law)

Soft PL ( $\Gamma > 2.5$ ) plus some hot disc emission. Most luminous.





### **Distributions in Photon Index**



#### **STEADY JETS AND TRANSIENT JETS**

#### Outline

Radio/X-ray Characteristics for X-ray Binaries

Models for the Emission Flat Spectrum (conical jet) Optically thin Outburst (bubble vs shocks)

Comparison with the AGNs

### RADIO SPECTRUM: WHY IS IT "FLAT" ?





change in the electron energy distribution



dependence of the "break" frequency on the changing plasma conditions along the jet

Blandford & Konigl 1979; Hjellming & Johnston 1988; Falcke et al. 1996; Kaiser 2006; Pe'er &Casella 2009

#### **STEADY JETS AND TRANSIENT JETS**

#### Outline

Radio/X-ray Characteristics for X-ray Binaries

Models for the Emission Flat Spectrum (conical jet ) Optically thin Outburst (bubble , shocks)

Comparison with the AGNs

Steady Jets and Transient Jets- Bonn 2010 M. Massi



www.astro.lsa.umich.edu/Events/Meetings/mctpwww/.../Fender.ppt



www.astro.lsa.umich.edu/Events/Meetings/mctpwww/.../Fender.ppt







Powerful jets produced in **transition** from canonical 'low/hard' to 'high/soft' states...

Fender, Belloni & Gallo (2004) Gallo et al. 2004 Homan & Belloni 2005

http://www.astro.lsa.umich.edu/Events/Meetings/mctpwww/contrib.html Fender.ppt

### **Black Hole States: Statistics**

**Timescales (days) for state (all BH Binaries)** 

	<u>duration</u>	transitions
Steep Power Law 1-10		<1
Low/hard	3-200	1-5

Ronald Remillard

In analogy with solar flares, magnetic energy is probably built-up and accumulated over long time scales and then dissipated in very short time



TRANSIENT

JET



On the other hand the removal of angular momentum via the steady jet has a dramatic effect on the overall process of the accretion process, further increasing the twist of the magnetic field and making **magnetic reconnection** among tangled field lines likely to occur.



Which is the primary energy output in reconnection? Is it in the form of relativistic electrons in random motion or is a possible fraction going into bulk motion ? We can assume that the initial blob, sufficiently compact to be optically thick, afterwards expands and becomes optically thin.

However, following van der Laan (1966) this model predicts that the self-absorption turnover peak frequency will move to lower frequencies, and the peak flux density will decrease (i.e. the flare amplitude decreases towards lower frequencies)



**Observations:** Outburst peaks at lower frequencies



#### LS I +61303

optically thick outburst

-peak at 8.3 Ghz
-delayed, decreased peak at 2.2 GHz

followed by the optically thin outburst

Massi & Kaufman Bernado 2009



# Radio 0.0 10 10

## 1 0.1 0.01 10<sup>-3</sup> 10<sup>-4</sup>

X-ray and Gamma- ray

#### <u>Shock-in-jet model</u>

Compton stage of the schock:

Dominant cooling mechanism is Inverse Compton losses (Synchrotron self-Compton, SSC) producing gamma rays

Reviews on high energy emission

#### **STEADY JETS AND TRANSIENT JETS**

#### Outline

Radio/X-ray Characteristics for X-ray Binaries
 Models for the Emission

Comparison with the AGNs



Camenzid 2008





From Urry & Padovani (in bepposax/calendar)

Some of the differences are due to the angle at which the AGN is observed

But clearly one cannot explain the division between radio loud and radio-quiet AGN with the geometry.



Camenzind 2008



#### JETS in AGNs:

We may have emission associated to different outbursts and completely detached from the present activity of the core

Complex scenario, where the shocked regions appear as

a sequence of bright optically thin features that move at relativistic velocity,

<u>embedded</u> in the steady jet, called for this reason in the AGN comunity, "underlying flow".

# **Steady Jets and Transient Jets:**

## Radio / X-ray Characteristics

# THANK YOU !





#### Steady Jets and Transient Jets

Max Planck Institut for Radio Astronomy, Bonn, Germany

7 - 8 April 2010



The aim of the workshop is to review the current status of knowledge about steady and shocked jets in various environments. The topics will be:

1. Jet Observations

2. MHD Steady Jet production and Schock-in-jet Theory

3. Radio Jets vs High Energy Emission

In addition to four invited reviews per topic, contributed paper can be presented as short talks accompanied by a poster.

SOC: S. Komissarov, A. Lobanov, M. Massi (chair), J. M. Paredes, A. Roy, M. Türler







For further information and registration visit: http://www.mpifr-bonn.mpg.de/staff/mmassi/conference/jets2010/



### **Steep Power Law**



The physical origin of the SPL state remains one of the outstanding problems in high-energy astrophysics.

McClintock & Remillard 2006

Grove et al. 1998



#### MAGIC observations : Photon index 3.2 +/- 0.6 Tev emission can be extrapolated down to the Mev range with a power law with photon index 2.5 (Fig. 6 in Malzac et al. 2008).

& Sunyaev 1973). However, it has been found that the soft state of Cyg X-1 is not consistent with a thermal interpretation (Zhang et al. 1997b), and this has caused considerable confusion as to the proper way to understand Cyg X-1 and/or describe the HS state. In seeking a physical basis for describing X-ray states, it turns out that Cyg X-1 is not a good choice as a prototype, and further remarks about the states in Cyg X-1 are given in a separate section below (§4.3.9). McClintock & Remillard 2006



The average emission has a maximum at phase 0.6.

### Power law: Photon index ~ 2.6

Emission at TeV energies

"...We detect a simultaneous outburst at X-ray and VHE bands, with the peak at phase 0.62 and a similar shape at both wavelengths.....suggests that in LS I +61 303 the X-rays are the result of synchrotron radiation of the same electrons that produce VHE emission as a result of inverse Compton scattering of stellar photons..." (MAGIC , Anderhub et al. 2009)





Massi & Kaufman Bernado 2009

