What can X-rays tell us about accretion, mass loss, and magnetic fields in young stars?
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1. Role of magnetic fields in YSOs: the "central engine"

- "Accretion-ejection" paradigm (Shu, Pudritz, Ferreira...)
- Jets: "X-winds" vs. "disk winds"
A short history of early stellar evolution

10⁴-5 yrs  
10⁵-6 yrs  
10⁶-7 yrs

protostars  
T Tauri stars
MHD model for star-disk magnetic coupling

(J. Ferreira et al., 2001 + this workshop)
(Shu et al., Pudritz et al., Heyvaerts et al.,...)

Centrifugal force
Ejection (jet): 10-30%

Corotating magnetosphere

Accretion (disk): 70-90%

X-ring

Ω

HH30

200 AU
Disk-wind model: $H\alpha$ emission

Kurosawa et al. 2006
Kurosawa et al. 2006

\[ H_\alpha \sim 500 \text{ AU} \]
2. X-ray emission from young stars: processes and environments (I)

- Links w/ internal structure:
  - = intrinsic, independent of cs environment
  - **solar paradigm:**
    Convection/activity/reconnection/coronal winds (low-mass stars)
    - Signature: *temporal variability* (flares), *dominating hard spectra* \( (T_X \sim x 10 \text{ MK}) \), but also soft component \( (T_X \sim \text{ few MK}) \); \( n_e \sim 10^{10}-10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3} \)

- **non-solar:** Radiation/winds (massive stars)
  - Shocks from radiative instabilities (Castor et al. 1975)
  - Signature: \( \sim \text{ no temporal variability, dominating soft spectra} \) (relative \( v_s \sim x 100 \text{ km/s} \Rightarrow T_X \sim \text{ few MK} \)); \( n_e \sim 10^{5}-10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3} \)
X-ray emission from young stars: processes and environments (II)

• Links w/ external structure
  = due to the presence of cs environment (e.g., disks, envelopes, ISM)
  • Shocks:
    ▪ Magnetosphere: confined winds, accretion
      - signature: soft X-rays, high densities
        \( n_e \rightarrow 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3} \)
    ▪ ISM: funnel walls, bow shocks
      - Signature: soft or high, depending on \( v_{\text{shock}} \)
3. Low/intermediate-mass young stars

- Class I (evolved protostar) + Class II (CTTS):
  - Multi-component: disk, wind, jets, envelopes, magnetosphere...
- HAeBe stars (details later)
The magnetic structure of a "classical" (= accreting) T Tauri star

Stassun 2001
A complex accretion-ejection configuration...
4. The case of massive (OB) stars

• Winds
  • Radiative winds, unstable
    • $L_x/L_{bol} \sim \text{cst} \sim 10^{-7}$
    • Soft spectra
  • "Magnetically channeled wind shocks": examples, predictions ($\theta^1$ Ori C, $\tau$ Sco...)
    - Initial model by Babel & Montmerle (1997) for the Ap star IQ Aur, X-ray overluminous
    - Predicted $B_*$ for $\theta^1$ Ori C found by Donati et al. (2001)
      - $B_* \sim \text{few 100 G - few kG}$
  • Generic model for a magnetized O star
    - Best clue: X-ray rotational modulation
O-early B stars in Orion:
*Week-long periodic X-ray rotational modulation*

**COUP: Stelzer, Flaccomio, Montmerle et al. 2005**
The Magnetically Channeled Wind Shock (MCWS) model

Magnetosphere:
\[ B_\ast \sim \text{few } 100 \text{G} \]

X-ray opt. thin

X-ray absorption:
- Cooling disk
- + escaping wind

5. Magnetospheric accretion

• Paradigm: corotating magnetosphere + jet + disk
• Star-disk interactions
• Evidence (spectroscopic): optical (H\(\alpha\), H\(\beta\), NaD, CaII...) + UV, X-ray
  – Prototype: TW Hya (age ~ 9 Myr; Kastner et al. 2002)
• X-ray abundance anomalies/disk evolution
  – planets?
• MHD models
Looking for He-like triplets ($T_X \sim$ few MK; density indicators): OVII, NeIX, MgXI

Fig. 2. First order background subtracted XMM-Newton RGS count rate spectrum of TW Hya. Overplotted is a 3-T VMEKAL model whose parameters were derived from a combination of emission line analysis and global fitting of the medium resolution MOS spectrum. The MOS spectrum is shown together with the same model in Fig. 4, and the best fit parameters are summarized in Table 3. Exposure time is 29 ks for each RGS. Straight horizontal lines represent gaps due to CCD chain failure or individual chip separation. Emission lines typical for stellar coronae are indicated by labels and dashed lines.
The OVII triplet: signature of accretion?

**Figure 1:** *Left panel:* O VII He-like triplet for TW Hya. *Middle panel:* O VII He-like triplet for BP Tau. *Right panel:* O VII He-like triplet for V4046 Sgr.

$n_e \sim 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$

Robrade et al. 2006
TW Hya: evidence for metal depletion in the accreted gas => locked in planets?

Drake et al. 2005
"Magnetospheric accretion": AA Tau, "Classical" T Tauri star

Rotation period $\sim$1 week

(Bouvier, Grosso, Montmerle et al., in prep.)
3D MHD corotating stationary models

Romanova et al 2004
6. The mysterious Herbig stars

- High detection rate of HAeBe stars (~70%, Stelzer et al. 2006)
  - In general, soft spectra
  - But free-fall too fast (600 km/s), winds too slow (300 km/s)
  - AB Aur: no evidence for high densities/accretion (Telleschi et al. 2006)
- Unless they all have unresolved companions, magnetic fields must be present, yet they are fully radiative
  - detected in 1 case
  - => magnetically confined winds?
- Precursors to ApBp "magnetic" stars? (< 10% of the AB population)
- Fossil fields?
HD 163296 (age ~4 Myr)

magnetically confined winds?

$M_{\text{dot}} \sim 7 \times 10^{-9} \, M_{\odot}/\text{yr}$, $B_* \sim 700 \, \text{G}$

Deleuil et al 2005
Table 1. Basic data of the studied Herbig Ae stars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HD</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Sp. type</th>
<th>T$_{\text{eff}}$</th>
<th>log G</th>
<th>$v$ sin i</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>$(V - L)_{\text{obs}}$</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>$\langle B_z \rangle$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>139614</td>
<td>CD-27 10778</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>A7Ve</td>
<td>8250</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>$\sim 2^m 0$</td>
<td>$\sim 0.1$--$0.5%$</td>
<td>$-450 \pm 93$ G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144432</td>
<td>CD-42 10650</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>A9Ve</td>
<td>7750</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>$\sim 2^m 0$</td>
<td>$\sim 0.1$--$0.5%$</td>
<td>$-94 \pm 60$ G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144668</td>
<td>HR 5999</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>A7IVe</td>
<td>7800</td>
<td>3.5--4.0</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>$3^m 0$--$3^m 5$</td>
<td>$\sim 0.5$--$1.3%$</td>
<td>$-118 \pm 48$ G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Mccus et al. (1998); (2) Grady et al. (1994).

Fig. 1. Regression detection of a $-450 \pm 93$ G magnetic field in HD 139614 and non-detections in HD 144432 and HD 144668.
7. Evidence for other mechanisms?

- Star-disk reconnection?
- X-rays from jets?
Star-disk reconnection? 
The case of the YLW15 protostar
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\[ \text{Differential star-disk rotation} \]

\[ \text{Montmerle et al 2000} \]
8. Conclusions

- Importance of high-resolution X-ray spectroscopy (RGS)
- UV/X-ray connection
- Only a few "non-solar" (= "non-coronal") cases of X-ray emission so far
  - Magnetospheric accretion
  - Magnetically channeled wind shocks
  - Jet shocks
- Hints of disk evolution (metal depletion) seen in X-rays; link w/ age unclear
- Significant fraction of intermediate/high mass stars may be magnetic: fossil fields ? buoyant magnetic fields from convective core ?
  
  => X-rays tell a lot, but it's not always easy to decipher the message...
- Key role played by magnetic fields