Resolving Doppler factor crisis in AGNs: non-steady magnetized outflows

Maxim Lyutikov, Matthew Lister (Purdue)

Radio-gamma correlation & TeV-GeV relation

- Radio-gamma correlation is well established
 - Details not clear:
 - 1. radio or gamma leads, if any; (gamma leads-Pushkarev)
 - 2. OR radio starts before, but peaks after gamma
 - 3. is gamma generated within the radio-mm optically thick region or outside?
- TeV-GeV
 - TeV and GeV AGNs are (half) different: TeVs are mostly HBLs, half of GeVs are FSRQs
 - GeV variable on ~ hour, probably cannot do shorter due to low counts (TeV ~ minutes).

Doppler factor crisis in AGNs

Henri & Sauge 2006

- Radiative modeling of TeV flares requires $\,\delta_{
 m TeV} \geq 100$
 - Fast variability $\,\Delta t
 ightarrow \Delta t'/\Gamma^2$
 - Compactness parameter $au o au'/\Gamma^6$
 - (I will mix up a bit TeV-GeV data. At least both IC.)
- Direct observations of superluminal radio knots imply $\,\delta_{
 m knot} \leq 10$
 - MOJAVE: blobs motion reflects underlying flow (bidirectional motions, no inward moving features, multiple blobs in the same jet with the same speed, correlations of jet speeds with other properties)
- Somewhat similarly (?) GeV photons in GRB 080916C -> Gamma ~2000.

Natural resolution: separate radio and GeV-TeV sites:

- in space (fast spine, slow sheath)
- time/space (decelerating jets, Georganopoulos & Kazanaz 2003)
- space: this work: accelerating jets

AGN launching: large-scale B-fields



- AGN (and GRB) jets are magnetically accelerated and collimated (Blandford&Znajek, Blandford&Payne).
- $c_s \sim c_r$, crossing time of SMBH ~ minutes-hours.
- Relax to steady state

Observations indicate non-stationarity, blob ejection etc. Probably related to instabilities of the inner disk

- Clear disk-jet connection in galactic BHs
- Some indication in AGNs (3C 120)

AGN launching: large-scale B-fields



- AGN (and GRB) jets are magnetically accelerated and

What are the consequences of non-stationary jet injection?

Observations maleate non-stationality, blob ejection etc.

Probably related to instabilities of the inner disk

- Clear disk-jet connection in galactic BHs
- Some indication in AGNs (3C 120)

Acceleration: pressure- & magnetic-driven, Newtonian, Relativistic.



Acceleration: pressure- & magnetic-driven, Newtonian, Polativistic Most internal energy



In non-stationary magneticallydriven expansion $\Gamma_{max} = 1 + 2\sigma$

Leading edges of non-stationary outflows can accelerate to much higher Lorentz factors and may dominate highly boosted high energy emission.

Steady state: $\Gamma \sim \sigma^{1/3}$

Relativistic Riemann problem



Modern shock-capturing numerical schemes (Godunov) are based on Riemann invariants. Exact non-linear solutions are rare, needed for code testing. $\begin{aligned} \left(\partial_t + \beta \partial_z\right)\beta &= -\frac{\left(\beta \partial_t + \partial_z\right)P}{\left(\mathcal{E} + \rho + P\right)\gamma^2} \\ \left(\partial_t + \beta \partial_z\right)P &= -(\mathcal{E} + \rho + P)\gamma^2 \left(\beta \partial_t + \partial_z\right)\beta \\ \text{Exact, fully non-linear solution for} \\ \text{Riemann problem (Riemann invariants and characteristics)} \end{aligned}$

$$\delta_{\beta} = \delta_{\eta}^{2/3} \delta_{A,0}^{2/3} \qquad \eta = z/t \\ \delta_{A} = \frac{\delta_{A,0}^{2/3}}{\delta_{\eta}^{1/3}} \qquad \delta = \sqrt{\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}}$$

Marti&Muller (1996) found J_{\pm} we found shape of characteristics

7









jet provide the provide the

At sufficiently large radii, $r_{\rm breakout} \geq \left(\frac{\xi}{\theta_j}\right)^{2/3} r_{BH} = 2 \times 10^{16} \,{\rm cm} M_{\odot,9} \xi_2^{2/3} \theta_{j,-1}^{-2/3}$ variations of launching proceed on time scales shorter than the dynamical time scale across the jet,



 $r_{\text{breakout}} \ge \left(\frac{\xi}{\theta_j}\right)^{2/3} r_{BH} = 2 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm} M_{\odot,9} \xi_2^{2/3} \theta_{j,-1}^{-2/3}$ variations of launching proceed on time scales shorter than the dynamical time scale across the jet,



variations of launching proceed on time scales shorter than the dynamical time scale across the jet,



variations of launching proceed on time scales shorter than the dynamical time scale across the jet,

TeV/GeV flares and radio blobs

TeV and GeV emission in blazars is produced in the leading expansion edge moving with Gamma ~100, while the observed velocities of the radio blobs correspond to the bulk motion with Gamma ~10

Before breakout

$$\gamma_w = \left(\frac{L}{\rho_{\rm ex}c^3}\right)^{1/4} r^{-1/2} \sim 10$$

After breakout: leading edge $\gamma \sim 4\gamma_w \sigma \sim 100$ bulk: $\gamma \sim 2\gamma_w \sigma^{1/3} \sim 10$

TeV/GeV flares and radio blobs

TeV and GeV emission in blazars is produced in the leading expansion edge moving with Gamma ~100, while the observed velocities of the radio blobs correspond to the bulk motion with Gamma ~10



Before breakout

$$\gamma_w = \left(\frac{L}{\rho_{\rm ex}c^3}\right)^{1/4} r^{-1/2} \sim 10$$

Can accommodate short variability and compactness

After breakout: leading edge $\gamma \sim 4\gamma_w \sigma \sim 100$ bulk: $\gamma \sim 2\gamma_w \sigma^{1/3} \sim 10$

TeV/GeV flares and radio blobs

TeV and GeV emission in blazars is produced in the leading expansion edge moving with Gamma ~100, while the observed velocities of the radio blobs correspond to the bulk motion with Gamma ~10



Predicted correlations

11

• Cores are optically thick at rgamma, typically rc > rbreakout:

$$r_{\text{core}} \approx 1.4 \text{pc} \zeta_R^{2/3} L_{46}^{2/3} \gamma_{w,1}^{-1/3} \nu_9^{-1}$$
$$r_{\text{breakout}} \ge \left(\frac{\xi}{\theta_j}\right)^{2/3} r_{BH} = 2 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm} M_{\odot,9} \xi_2^{2/3} \theta_{j,-1}^{-2/3}$$

Jet breakout will occur while the jet is still optically thick in radio.

$$\begin{array}{l} \Delta t_{\gamma-R}\sim \frac{r_{\rm core}/c}{2\gamma_w^2}\sim {\rm weeks-months} & \gamma_{\rm Gev}\sim 4\gamma_w\sigma\sim 100\\ \\ \text{Gamma-rays correlate with radio leading by ~ weeks} & \gamma_{\rm R}\sim 2\gamma_w\sigma^{1/3}\sim 10 \end{array}$$

- Better correlated (shorter delay) at higher radio frequencies
- Acceleration at large r: avoid Compton drag near BH.

Predicted correlations

• Cores are optically thick at rgamma, typically rc > rbreakout:

$$r_{\rm core} \approx 1.4 \text{pc} \zeta_R^{2/3} L_{46}^{2/3} \gamma_{w,1}^{-1/3} \nu_9^{-1}$$

$$r_{\rm breakout} \ge \left(\frac{\xi}{\theta_j}\right)^{2/3} r_{BH} = 2 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm} M_{\odot,9} \xi_2^{2/3} \theta_{j,-1}^{-2/3}$$

Kinematicall related

11

Jet breakout will occur while the jet is still optically thick in radio.

$$\Delta t_{\gamma-R} \sim \frac{r_{\rm core}/c}{2\gamma_w^2} \sim {\rm weeks-months}$$

- $\begin{array}{l} \gamma_{\rm Gev} \sim 4\gamma_w \sigma \sim 100 \\ \gamma_{\rm R} \sim 2\gamma_w \sigma^{1/3} \sim 10 \end{array}$ Gamma-rays correlate with radio leading by ~ weeks
- Better correlated (shorter delay) at higher radio frequencies
- Acceleration at large r: avoid Compton drag near BH.

Radio-gamma correlation

Gamma-rays-radio correlation with ~ months delay (Pushkarev et al 2010), radio 15 GHz trailing.



Morphologies

Jet morphology: higher gamma blobs merge later (e.g. variable jets in FSRQ); low gamma: smooth jets in LBLs).



High Gamma, late merging, knotty jet

Low Gamma, early merging, smooth jets

Predicted correlations

Fermi-detected have higher Gamma
jets of gamma-ray-selected AGNs are more aligned than those in radio-selected (but: mini-jets?)

• Gamma-ray emission is more boosted than radio, shorter variability times



Acceleration on 1-10 pc - observed? (Lobanov)

14

Conclusion

- Non-stationary outflows can accelerate much more efficiently than stationary ones.
- Beaming in GeV-TeV is different from beaming in radio (prediction)
- gamma rays generated ~ 0.1 1 pc from BH, at blob leading edge, mostly inside optically thick region
- The model is able to accommodate both the requirement of small optical depth for gamma-ray photons, short time-scale variability and slow speeds in radio
- Key prediction: radio-gamma delay, confirmed by Fermi (?)
- We do not specify how emission is generated; Blandford: it does not matter, Doppler beaming dominates
- Exact non-linear solutions to the Riemann problem can be used for code testing (and are cute)

Mini-jets

 Emission beamed in jet frame (Blandford & Lyutikov 2003, Lyutikov 2006, Ghisellini et al. 2008, Lazar et al. 2009, Giannios et al. 2009, Narayan & Kumar 2009)



- HST1 in M87: high r, short variability
- Pic A: knots (~kpc) vary on 1yr



16

